

Nurturing Tomorrow's Leaders: Effective Succession Planning for School Governing Bodies

As our educational landscape evolves, so too must the leadership structures that guide our schools. A vital component of this evolution is the careful cultivation, by the Chair with the help of senior leaders, of school governing bodies, ensuring that they are well-equipped to navigate the challenges and opportunities of the future.

This is where succession planning steps in – a proactive strategy that not only ensures a smooth transition of leadership but also fosters the development of governors at all levels. It is a policy that requires Chairs and Vice chairs with the inclusion of senior leaders to agree and set within a clear plan.

Anyone who has seen a chair resign or retire without much notice and without a succession plan will know just how stressful it is for the Board and the school. The same is true at all levels in a board that does not have a clear plan for succession. It should be considered one of the primary roles of a Chair to plan for their succession, not by 'lining up' a particular successor, but by ensuring that there are plenty of potential successors at all levels and in all positions who are properly trained and possess a broad experience of the Board's work.

It starts with Induction.

In truth it probably starts before induction, when you are meeting and talking to candidates who have expressed an interest in the role. It is vital not to underplay either the level of responsibility or the level of commitment required in terms of time and physical attendance both at meetings and in between. It should also be made clear that there is an expectation of a commitment to training and to responsibility right from the first day as a strategic leader in a Church of England school, academy or trust.

The Importance of Early Responsibility

In the realm of school governance, early exposure to responsibility is invaluable. Imagine a young sapling; as it is nurtured and pruned in its early stages, it grows to become a sturdy and productive tree. Similarly, when governors are given responsibilities from the outset, they can better understand the intricacies of their roles and the progressive nature of their responsibilities.

Early responsibilities can include linked governor roles, involvement in sub-committees, taking part in policy discussions, or involvement in and then leading smaller initiatives within the school community. By engaging governors in these activities, they gain insights into decision-making processes, community engagement, and problem-solving.

This hands-on experience equips them with the skills and knowledge needed to take on larger responsibilities as they ascend within the governing body.

The Importance of Induction and ongoing training.

For Governors to progress in their responsibilities, they also need to progress in their understanding of the role. Often the reason for leaving Governance is the strain they feel with the volume of work and responsibility partially at least as a result of them not understanding their roles sufficiently. Constantly operating in a role where you don't feel in command of the knowledge required feeds the 'imposter syndrome' many people feel coming back into a school environment and operating and debating matters with people who are senior professionals in education, and adds stress to something they have volunteered for.

Good quality induction over the first term followed by a board wide commitment to learning from governors at all levels of experience encourages the Board to keep on top of their roles and responsibilities and lessens the pressure on the Clerk and the Chair, making the role a less daunting prospect when the time comes to recruit a replacement.

The Power of Two: The Role of Vice Chairs

A cornerstone of effective succession planning in many schools with sufficient Governor numbers, has been the establishment of two vice chairs within the governing body. This approach spreads the leadership load and ensures a broader perspective on decision-making.

Having two vice chairs, each with distinct areas of focus or expertise, or each chairing a key committee, allows for a more balanced distribution of responsibilities. This approach fosters collaboration and encourages governors to learn from one another. One of the key benefits of having two vice chairs is the opportunity for them to step into the role of the chair during main meetings. This rotation not only provides practical experience for the vice chairs but also ensures the seamless transfer of institutional knowledge. As the vice chairs take turns in leading these meetings, they share insights, challenges, and solutions, thereby building a reservoir of experience that can benefit the entire governing body.

Additionally, the anxiety of OFSTED and SIAMS inspections can be shared, ensuring that the inspections become more than an exercise in what the Chair can remember to say. Governors govern collectively, it makes sense that they attend inspections collectively each contributing from their areas of experience and knowledge.

Building a Bridge of Experience

When a vice chair assumes the role of the chair for selected meetings, they create a bridge of experience that spans across generations of governors. This bridge facilitates the passing down of wisdom, strategies, and institutional memory. It also instils a sense of continuity and stability, as newer governors have the opportunity to witness and schools benefit from consistent leadership in action.

By sharing responsibilities and experiences, vice chairs create a culture of mentorship within the governing body. Newer governors feel supported and guided, while seasoned governors find fulfilment in passing down their expertise. This symbiotic relationship ensures a steady influx of fresh perspectives while retaining the institutional knowledge that is essential for informed decision-making.

Making good from the bad – understanding why people leave and learning from it

Inevitably there are times when terms of office end and are not renewed, or people leave their roles mid-term. It is important that Boards understand why people are leaving and take the opportunity to re-evaluate their working practices. Chairs should interview and report back on leavers with any action points or points for discussion.

Conclusion

Succession planning is not merely about filling positions; it's about recruiting, inducting, training and nurturing leaders at all levels in Governance who will guide our schools in a consistent and 'joined up' way toward excellence and setting the right level of expectation of commitment at the earliest stage. By providing early responsibilities, we give governors the chance to grow and flourish within their roles. Embracing the power of two vice chairs spreads leadership responsibilities and strengthens the governing body as a whole.

As a result, when vice chairs step into the role of the chair, direction is maintained and experience is shared, and the bridge of wisdom is built, ensuring a sustainable and effective leadership continuum.

As we embark on this journey of educational transformation, it is helpful to remember that successful schools are built on the foundation of strong governance. Through thoughtful succession planning and the cultivation of leadership, we empower governors to lead with confidence and can progressively and consistently shape the future of education for generations to come.

Please remember you are not alone and the Diocesan Education Team are here to help, support and guide you. Please know you and your schools are in our thoughts and prayers.

For more information contact Roland Roberts and the Governance Advisory team at schoolsgovernance@glosdioc.org.uk